

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	1
10/649,874	08/26/2003	Masanori Katsuta	50905/DBP/N194	6387	
23363 CHRISTIE PA	7590 07/12/2007 RKER & HALE, LLP		EXAM	INER	
PO BOX 7068		FLETCHER, MARLON T			
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2837			
					_
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/649,874	KATSUTA, MASANORI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marlon T. Fletcher	2837				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6):MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period/for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ap	oril 2007					
	action is non-final.					
		secution as to the merits is				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	A parte quayre, 1999 G.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) 3,4 and 8-10 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-7,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 13-18 is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Evaminar				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
i '	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Linterview Summary (
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/649,874

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, and 11, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ikawa et al. (2006/0101984).

Ikawa et al. disclose a musical performance self-training apparatus for supporting a player by displaying a performance instruction information on a display means, comprising: a unit designating means (10) for designating a unit from the plural units (20), the units (20) constitute a music to be performed and each unit includes a predetermined size of musical tone information, and a performance instruction information generating means (30) for generating the performance instruction information based on the musical tone information of the unit which is designated by the unit designating means.

Ikawa et al. disclose the musical performance self-training apparatus, further comprises a lesson menu generating means for generating an image information of a lesson menu which has a score of the music to be played and the units corresponding to the score, then output the image information to the display means, wherein the unit

Application/Control Number: 10/649,874

Art Unit: 2837

designating means designates a unit automatically, which unit corresponding to the score of the performance instruction information to be displayed next (page 3, paragraph 47).

Ikawa et al. disclose wherein units having the same content are managed collectively as the same unit (figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5-7, 11, and 12, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikawa et al.

Ikawa et al. are discussed above. Ikawa et al. disclose wherein units having the same content are managed collectively as the same unit (figure 1).

Ikawa et al. do not disclose an automatic and manual mode.

However Official Notice is taken with respect to it being well known in the art to provide music lessons or scores in automatic and manual progression modes.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the teachings of the well known teachings in the art, because these teachings allows control over the progress of the lesson distribution.

Page 4

Ikawa et al. are discussed above. Ikawa et al. do not disclose the size of the unit.

It would have been a matter of design choice to change the size of the unit, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of the component.

A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5.; Claims 3, 4, and 8-10 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 13-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04/25/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Ikawa et al. are not prior art based on the foreign priority claim. However, an English translation of the foreign reference must be provided with such claim, wherein the translation must contain that subject matter which is being claimed in the US application.

Art Unit: 2837

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marlon T. Fletcher whose telephone number is 571-272-2063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on 571-272-1988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MTF 07/09/2007

Primary Examiner